

**DATE:** December 16, 2019

**TO:** RMC Governing Board

**FROM:** Mark Stanley, Executive Officer

**SUBJECT:** Item 5: Consideration of a resolution authorizing the San Gabriel and Lower Los Angeles Rivers and Mountains Conservancy to negotiate and approve a Professional Services Agreement and Service Order with Geosyntec Consulting to provide Concept Design and Permitting Pre-Coordination services for the Community Cultural Arts Center in Southeast Los Angeles

---

**PROGRAM AREA:** Lower Los Angeles River and Tributaries

**PROJECT TYPE:** Implementation

**JURISDICTION:** Lower Los Angeles River Corridor

**PROJECT MANAGER:** Joseph Gonzalez

**RECOMMENDATION:** That the San Gabriel and Lower Los Angeles Rivers and Mountains Conservancy Governing Board authorizes the San Gabriel and Lower Los Angeles Rivers and Mountains Conservancy to negotiate and award a Professional Services Agreement and Service Order with Geosyntec Consulting to provide Concept Design and Permitting Pre-Coordination services for the Community Cultural Arts Center in Southeast Los Angeles.

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

- Exhibit A: Lower LA River Revitalization Plan – Rio Hondo Confluence Signature Project
- Exhibit B: Site Boundary
- Exhibit C: Concept Design Team
- Exhibit D: Summary of USACE 408 Process
- Exhibit E: Geosyntec's corporate Quality Management Plan's Table of Content

The Geosyntec team is currently providing professional architectural and engineering services to RMC for the Feasibility and Pre-Concept phase for the proposed Community Cultural Arts Center (Project), see Exhibit A and B for site context and boundary. The Geosyntec team has provided drafts of all Feasibility and Pre-Concept reports (including Survey and Utility summary, Environmental Screening Assessment, and Geotechnical Investigation Summary) which are currently being reviewed by RMC and LA County partners. The Feasibility phase is anticipated to be completed by December 31, 2019.

Based on the assessment reports, the Geosyntec team has concluded that remediation through detailed planning of a ground improvement program and overall site development, including ground improvements within the footprint of the proposed building structures, can address the significant geotechnical and environmental considerations.

Following the Feasibility and Pre-Concept phase, the Geosyntec team is prepared to provide additional architectural, engineering, planning, and community engagement services through Concept Design Phase and for Preliminary US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Section 408 permitting discussion. It is anticipated that the overall duration of this phase will take about 3-6 months.

The Concept Design Phase Scope of Work includes the following:

1. Concept Design
2. Preliminary USACE 408 Pre-Coordination
3. Quality Management

Deliverables by Activity:

Activity	Duration	Deliverables
Concept	3 Months	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Initial Kickoff Meeting</li> <li>• Bi-weekly calls and progress updates</li> <li>• Drawings as necessary to describe selected massing options, site layout, and other conceptual designs to support a preliminary cost estimate</li> <li>• Program and area summaries as necessary for the massing options</li> <li>• Photographs of physical and/or 3-D computer models for the massing options</li> <li>• Up to one (1) presentation of the in-progress design to the RMC decision committee if required</li> <li>• Up to two (2) progress concept design reports</li> <li>• Up to three (3) concept design meetings at 4-week intervals</li> <li>• Meeting minutes for every meeting attended</li> <li>• Two (2) public engagement meetings and presentation materials</li> <li>• Public engagement survey report</li> <li>• Final concept design report</li> <li>• Presentation materials for a final concept design</li> <li>• Up to one (1) presentation of the final design to the RMC decision committee if required</li> <li>• Up to two (2) concept design presentations to project stakeholders</li> </ul>
USACE 408 Pre-Coordination	3 Months	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Up to three (3) face-to-face meetings with USACE</li> <li>• Meeting minutes for every meeting attended</li> </ul>

### 1. Concept Design

In the Concept Design phase, the basic Project conditions and scope as well as program areas and site are confirmed and various approaches to the Project massing and siting are developed.

The preliminary building program that forms the basis of the Project is as follows:

- Building Programming (approximately 95,000 sq. ft.), includes:
  - Community/Cultural facilities
  - Performance facility

- a. Museum facility
2. Site Programming (approximately 500,000 sq. ft.)

Note that the preliminary building program is based on certain assumptions for Project and will need to be further defined.

A list of the Concept Design Team is included as Exhibit C.

The relative general responsibilities between the Geosyntec, Architect, and RMC are as follows:

	Task	Service	Geosyntec	Architect	RMC
1.1	<b>Project Management/ Organization</b>	0.01 Consultations with Owner during the Concept Design Phase, Schematic Design Phase and Outreach Phase.	a	R	-
		0.02 Research.	a	R	-
		0.03 Conferences.	a	R	-
		0.04 Communications.	a	R	-
		0.05 Progress Reports.	a	R	-
		0.06 Preparation of professional services agreement(s) and recommendation of engineering and other consultants.	a	R	-
		0.07 Provide overall project design intent.	-	R	-
1.2	<b>Disciplines Coordination</b>	0.01 Coordination of architectural services and work product with other design disciplines during the Concept Design Phase and Outreach Phase.	a	R	a
		0.02 Review and coordination of design documents during Concept Design	a	R	a
1.3	<b>Scheduling</b>	0.01 Establish and maintain project schedule for design services, decision making and documentation during the Concept Design and Outreach Phase.	a	R	a
1.4	<b>Owner Supplied Data Coordination</b>	0.01 Review and coordinate owner supplied project data.	R	R	a
		0.02 Assistance in establishing criteria.	R	R	a
		0.03 Assistance in obtaining data.	R	R	a
1.5	<b>Agency Consulting/ Review / Approval</b>	0.01 Building Code/Ordinance review.	a	R	a
		0.02 Research of critical applicable regulations.	R	R	a
		0.03 Preparation of written and graphic explanatory materials.	a	R	-

**Key:** R = Primary Party Responsible  
a = Assist Primary Party

Specific tasks and the relative responsibilities between the Geosyntec, Architect, and RMC for the Concept Design Phase are as follows:

Task	Service	Geosyntec	Architect	RMC
2.01 <b>Project Management/ Organization</b>	0.01 Refer to General Services and Project Management Services	-	-	-
2.02 <b>Site Analysis</b>	0.01 Site Investigations	R	a	-
	0.02 Topography analysis	R	a	-
	0.03 Analysis of deed, zoning and other legal restrictions.	R	R	R
	0.04 Overall site analysis and evaluation.	R	R	R
	0.05 Site visits reasonably required to establish criteria and achieve familiarity with existing conditions.	R	R	-
	0.06 Provide surveys, surface site data and subsurface site data.	R	-	R
	0.07 Assist Owner in reviewing the preliminary need for new boundary, surface and subsurface site data.	R	a	-
	0.08 Site Photography.	R	a	a
	0.09 Environmental studies.	R	-	a
2.03 <b>Program</b>	0.01 Manage and coordinate design with established program.	a	R	a
2.04 <b>Preliminary Diagrams</b>	0.01 Pedestrian, vehicular and material handling	R	a	-
	0.02 Circulation.	R	R	-
	0.03 General space allocations. Adjacency	R	R	-
2.05 <b>Presentations</b>	0.01 Presentation of Concept Design Phase design materials to Owner.	a	R	-
2.06 <b>Community Outreach</b>	0.01 Assist the Owner and project team with creating supporting exhibits and participation in a limited effort to support the community outreach proces.	a	R	a

**Key:** R = Primary Party Responsible  
a = Assist Primary Party

Geosyntec's services for the Concept Design Phase consist of the following:

- Geotechnical Engineering
- Environmental Engineering
  - review the information collected during the site investigation and work with the Design team to develop approaches to mitigate site contamination, if needed, such as capping, encapsulation, or limited removal
- Civil Engineering
  - Draft Design Basis Summary Tech Memo for Civil Design
  - Design Concept Drawings
- Traffic Engineering
  - Provide design input regarding the project entry intersection with Imperial Highway
  - Develop a concept improvement plan for the segment along Imperial Highway along the project frontage
  - Prepare concept improvement exhibits for up to two (2) intersections providing access to the proposed project
- Cost Estimating
  - Review and alignment of opinions of probable construction costs with the expectations of the Owner and the design team.
  - Comparison of the budget cost model to the budgeted funds for the project.
- Engagement Support

## 2. Preliminary USACE 408 Pre-Coordination

Based on the current conceptual layout, a USACE 408 permit may be required due to potential levee impacts. Therefore, an activity to meet with USACE has been included and will explore their permit requirements related to the planned Project. However, the NEPA documentation and USACE 408 permitting are not included as part of this scope of work. Obtaining an early decision on the 408 Permit can help prevent this process from introducing a project delay.

Based on current conceptual site layouts, portions of the planned project will encroach on the existing levees and levee easements of the Los Angeles River. These levees are under the control of USACE. Section 14 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899, 33 USC 408, (commonly referred to as “Section 408”) authorizes the USACE to grant permission for the alteration, occupation or use of a USACE project if certain requirements are met. Alterations refers to any action by any entity other than USACE that builds upon, alters, improves, moves, occupies, or otherwise affects the usefulness, or the structural or ecological integrity, of a USACE constructed project.

The most recent 408 guidance (EC 1165-2-220) issued by the Corps on 10 Sep 2018 outlines a four-step procedure (Sections 13-17) for obtaining a 408 permit. Before Step 1 can begin, a pre-coordination step must be taken to identify issues, USACE design standards, level of detail required, determine if single phase or multi-phased review approach is required, minimize cost, and provide for the protection of sensitive information. Steps 1 through 4 will be included as part of the scope for the Design through Construction Documents Phases. A brief summary of EC 1165-2-220 and the USACE 408 process is provided as Exhibit D.

## 3. Quality Management

Geosyntec work product is prepared following a rigorous quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) process defined in a Corporate Quality Management Plan. The goal of their Quality Management Program (QMP) is to promote quality in their services and work products thereby enhancing the performance, reliability, and safety of our solutions and recommendations and the satisfaction of our clients. The QMP includes using an internal quality management system (QMS) to document and track all peer and senior reviews. The Table of Contents of Geosyntec’s corporate Quality Management Plan is included as Exhibit E.

**BACKGROUND:** Projects identified in the planning process for the Lower Los Angeles River Revitalization Plan (LLARRP) were initiated by the passage of AB 530 which established a Lower Los Angeles River Working Group and generated opportunities for urban river enhancements that touch on integration of open space, housing, transportation, and business development.

One of the projects identified in the LLARRP was a Community Cultural Arts Center in Southeast Los Angeles near the confluence of the Rio Hondo (See Exhibit A). RMC, in partnership with the County of Los Angeles (Public Works) and other local and regional entities, was identified as the appropriate state agency to lead the development of the SELA Community Cultural Arts Center.

In order to efficiently carry out the planning, development, and construction for projects related to the LLARRP and the Cultural Center, RMC released a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) for

consultant services in December 2018 to provide professional services as required for project planning and development on an as needed basis. The RFQ was released on December 5, 2018 with a submittal deadline of January 7, 2019. The RFQ's primary purpose was to establish a pool of individual consultants and firms who have been pre-screened for their relevant level of expertise in urban river community projects, outreach, incubator and urban river improvement programs and projects. Subsequently, those on the list are highly qualified and readily available to provide professional consulting services for various projects within the Lower Los Angeles River region to support the Lower LA Revitalization Plan (AB 530).

Submittals were evaluated based upon a set of nine criteria for those firms who have complied with the minimum qualification requirements and to one or more of the following desirable qualifications and expertise, including: knowledge of the Lower Los Angeles River and its Revitalization Plan, Incubator Oversight and Contract Management, and Post-Incubation, and Availability, Schedule, and Project Management. Sixteen proposals were received and were each evaluated by three RMC staff members for desirable qualification and expertise.

Geosyntec consulting was identified as a top-tier candidate through RMC's RFQ process. As the lead consultant on the Los Angeles River Master Plan update, which is being led by Los Angeles County Public Works, Geosyntec not only demonstrates the technical expertise to lead the feasibility study for the Cultural Center but the Geosyntec Team is best suited to coordinate planning efforts with regional entities between this project and other studies in the LA River.

Since there are a number of significant issues that can impact the feasibility of the project, Geosyntec proposed a single integrated team to facilitate site selection, iterative solutions, and development of a site development strategy that effectively and cost-efficiently meets site requirements and stakeholder expectations starting from the site selection and feasibility stage.

The scope of the Feasibility phase scope of work included a technical evaluation of three potential sites along the Los Angeles River and the Rio Hondo in the cities of South Gate, Cudahy, and Bell Gardens. It was through this analysis that a preferred site was selected: the LA County Public Works' Flood Control District's Imperial Maintenance Yard (see Exhibit B).

Following the site selection, the feasibility phase included initial geotechnical, civil, and environmental engineering investigations of the selected site, including pre-concept technical studies. Deliverables of the feasibility phase will include:

- Initial geotechnical/seismic design criteria document (Technical memorandum; TMG 1) in consultation with civil/structural/hydraulics engineer.
- Environmental Screening Assessment (TME 1) following ASTM procedures.
- Boundary, Topographic, and Utility Survey (TMC 1). A technical memorandum summarizing existing Site Conditions including survey and utility information.
- Four (4) stakeholder meetings

The Geosyntec team has provided drafts of all reports which are currently being reviewed by RMC and LA County partners. It is anticipated that the Feasibility study will be completed by December 31, 2019.

**FISCAL INFORMATION:** Funding for Concept Design services will be allocated for Los Angeles River Community Restoration from the Budget Act of 2018 allocation:

Budget Act of 2018 (Senate Bill No. 840)

For local assistance, Secretary of the Natural Resources Agency: Los Angeles River Community Restoration and Revitalization Projects, in the amount of twenty million dollars (\$20,000,000), of this amount, allocation to Rivers and Mountains Conservancy is nineteen million dollars (\$19,000,000).

**LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY AND RMC ADOPTED POLICIES/AUTHORITIES:** The Rivers and Mountains Conservancy (RMC) statute provides in part that:

Section 32602: There is in the Resources Agency, the San Gabriel and Lower Los Angeles Rivers and Mountains Conservancy, which is created as a state agency for the following purposes:

- (a) To acquire and manage public lands within the Lower Los Angeles River and San Gabriel River watersheds, and to provide open-space, low-impact recreational and educational uses, water conservation, watershed improvement, wildlife and habitat restoration and protection, and watershed improvement within the territory.
- (b) To preserve the San Gabriel River and the Lower Los Angeles River consistent with existing and adopted river and flood control projects for the protection of life and property.
- (c) To acquire open-space lands within the territory of the conservancy.

Section 32604: The conservancy shall do all of the following:

- (a) Establish policies and priorities for the conservancy regarding the San Gabriel River and the Lower Los Angeles River, and their watersheds, and conduct any necessary planning activities, in accordance with the purposes set forth in Section 32602.
- (b) Approve conservancy funded projects that advance the policies and priorities set forth in Section 32602.
- (d) To provide for the public's enjoyment and enhancement of recreational and educational experiences on public lands in the San Gabriel Watershed and Lower Los Angeles River, and the San Gabriel Mountains in a manner consistent with the protection of lands and resources in those watersheds.

Section 32614: The conservancy may do all of the following:

- (b) Enter into contracts with any public agency, private entity, or person necessary for the proper discharge of the conservancy's duties, and enter into a joint powers agreement with a public agency, in furtherance of the purposes set forth in Section 32602.
- (e) Enter into any other agreement with any public agency, private entity, or person necessary for the proper discharge of the conservancy's duties for the purposes set forth in Section 32602.
- (f) Recruit and coordinate volunteers and experts to conduct interpretive and recreational programs and assist with construction projects and the maintenance of parkway facilities.

Further, Section 32614 provides that: The conservancy may do all of the following:

- (g) Undertake, within the territory, site improvement projects, regulate public access, and revegetate and otherwise rehabilitate degraded areas, in consultation with any other public agency with appropriate jurisdiction and expertise, in accordance with the purposes set forth in Section 32602. The conservancy may also, within the territory, upgrade deteriorating facilities and construct new facilities as needed for outdoor recreation, nature appreciation and interpretation, and natural resources protection. The conservancy may

undertake those projects by itself or in conjunction with another local agency; however, the conservancy shall provide overall coordination of those projects by setting priorities for the projects and by ensuring a uniform approach to projects. The conservancy may undertake those projects with prior notification to the legislative body of the local agency that has jurisdiction in the area in which the conservancy proposes to undertake that activity.

Section 32614.5:

- (a) The conservancy may award grants to local public agencies, state agencies, federal agencies, and nonprofit organizations for the purposes of this division.
- (b) Grants to nonprofit organizations for the acquisition of real property or interests in real property shall be subject to all of the following conditions:
  - (1) The purchase price of any interest in land acquired by the nonprofit organization may not exceed fair market value as established by an appraisal approved by the conservancy.
  - (2) The conservancy approves the terms under which the interest in land is acquired.
  - (3) The interest in land acquired pursuant to a grant from the conservancy may not be used as security for any debt incurred by the nonprofit organization unless the conservancy approves the transaction.
  - (4) The transfer of land acquired pursuant to a grant shall be subject to the approval of the conservancy and the execution of an agreement between the conservancy and the transferee sufficient to protect the interests of the state.
  - (5) The state shall have a right of entry and power of termination in and over all interests in real property acquired with state funds, which may be exercised if any essential term or condition of the grant is violated.
  - (6) If the existence of the nonprofit organization is terminated for any reason, title to all interest in real property acquired with state funds shall immediately vest in the state, except that, prior to that termination, another public agency or nonprofit organization may receive title to all or a portion of that interest in real property, by recording its acceptance of title, together with the conservancy's approval, in writing.
- (c) Any deed or other instrument of conveyance whereby real property is acquired by a nonprofit organization pursuant to this section shall be recorded and shall set forth the executor interest or right of entry on the part of the state.

**LEGEND**

**CHANNEL ENHANCEMENTS**

- 1 Modified Low-Flow Channel
- 2 Vegetated Terraces
- 3 River Crossing
- 4 Access Ramp

**PARK ENHANCEMENTS**

- 5 Bridge Park
- 6 Improved Multi-Use Access
- 7 New Open Space
- 8 Upland Habitat Area

**BUILDINGS & FACILITIES**

- 9 Amphitheater and Historical Center
- 10 Community Center
- 11 Community Center Alternate Location
- 12 Relocated Parking Lot
- 13 Stormwater Treatment Facility




Exhibit A

Item 5

CITY OF SOUTH GATE

CITY OF SOUTH GATE

CITY OF LYNWOOD


Scale: 1" = 800'0"



Rio Hondo Confluence Illustrative Site Plan

SELA CULTURAL CENTER

# SITE BOUNDARY



## LEGEND

- Site Boundary
- - - Parcel Boundary
- Levee Landside Toe

Source: OLIN; Los Angeles County GIS Data Portal, Assessor Parcels - 2015 Tax Roll, 2015; NAIP Aerial 2017

## Concept Design Team:

Geosyntec Consulting– Geotechnical and Environmental Engineer, Concept Design coordinator

*In alphabetical order:*

Directional Logic – Cost Estimating

Gehry Partners, LLP – Architect

Architect Consultants

- ARC Engineering – Mechanical, Electrical and Plumbing/Fire Protection Engineer
- HKA – Vertical Transportation Consultant
- MKA – Structural Engineer
- Nagata – Acoustical Consultant, Performance
- Newsom Brown – Acoustical Consultant, Non-Performance
- Olin – Landscape Architect
- RiverLA – Outreach
- SGH – Life Safety/Code Compliance
- Sonitus – Audio Visual Consultant
- Theatre DNA – Theater Consultant
- *TBD* – Lighting Design Consultant

Ruth Villalobos and Associates – USACE 408 Pre-Coordination

Urban Crossroads – Traffic Engineer

*TBD* – Surveyor

## Summary of USACE 408 Process

The most recent 408 guidance (EC 1165-2-220) issued by the Corps on 10 Sep 2018 outlines the four-step procedure (Sections 13-17) for obtaining a 408 permit. Below are excerpts of Step 1 and Step 2, followed by a list of the remaining steps.

- Pre-coordination – early coordination to identify issues, USACE design standards, level of detail required, determine if single-phase or multi-phased review approach is required, minimize cost, and provide for the protection of sensitive information.
- Step 1 – Completeness Determination – This step is a determination if all of the basic requirements for a complete 408 request has been met. The District has 30 days to determine if the requester has provided all of the required documentation. Minimum 408 requirements include:
  - Statement of No Objection. A written statement of no objection from the non-federal sponsor is required, who is Los Angeles County Public Works since they have O&M responsibilities for this section of the river.
  - Alteration description, drawings, sketches, maps, plans that are sufficient for Corps to make preliminary determination as to location, purpose, need, construction schedule, level of technical documentation required to inform its evaluation.
  - Technical Analysis and Design. The requester needs to demonstrate that the proposed alteration meets USACE design criteria and standards. Specific level of detail necessary for this step will be determined during pre-coordination. The District may ask for a hydrologic and hydraulic analysis to determine the potential changes of the proposed alteration. The requester must submit a certification that the design underwent a quality control process.
  - Environmental and Cultural Resource Compliance. Requester must provide all supporting information and documentation that the District determines as necessary to assess compliance, such as species surveys, habitat assessments, and/or cultural resource surveys. Requester may be required to draft the NEPA environmental assessment or environmental impact statement consistent with 40 CFR 1506.5. If a Section 401 Water Quality Certification is required, the 408 cannot be granted until the certification has been obtained or waived, as required.
  - Real Estate Requirements. A description of the real property required to support the proposed alteration must be provided.
  - Operation, Maintenance, Repair, Replacement, and Rehabilitation (OMRR&R). Requesters must identify any projected requirements for OMRR&R needed throughout the life of the proposed alteration and responsible entity. Requester must provide enough information to update the portion of the O&M Manual of the project related to the proposed alteration.
  - Statement regarding if requester is also pursuing credit under Section 221 of Flood Control Act of 1970, or other law or whether approval under Section 204(f) of WRDA 1986 is being or will be sought.

- Step 2 - USACE Review and Decision. A final decision will be provided by the District within 90 days from the date the completeness determination was made. A Summary of Findings will be provided to the requester that will include, at a minimum:
  - USACE project description and authorization
  - Brief description of the request
  - Description and reference to the review plan process followed
  - Summary of rationale and conclusions for recommending approval or denial
  - Certification of legal sufficiency by Office of Counsel
  - Certification by the District Chief of Real Estate Division that all real property required has been identified, is sufficient to support the alteration, and that it will not adversely affect the USACE project's real property
  - Description of any related ongoing USACE studies and any impact to those studies
  - Summary of input from the non-federal sponsor
  - Summary of any changes to the O&M Manual
  - NEPA Finding of No Significant Impact or Record of Decision
- Step 3 – Final Decision Notification.
- Step 4 – Construction Oversight. The District will develop procedures for monitoring construction activities, including reviewing construction documentation. As-builts, and final updates to the O&M Manual should be provided to the District. The District may require a post construction on-site inspection.

# CORPORATE QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN

*Prepared by*

**Geosyntec**   
consultants

Revision 04

September 27, 2018



# GEOSYNTEC CORPORATE QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN

**Revision: 04**

**Date of Revision: September 27, 2018**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1.</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Purpose of the Quality Management Plan	1
1.2	Reference Documents	2
1.3	Definition of Quality Assurance and Quality Control	3
1.4	Scope of Activities Covered by the QMP	3
1.5	Revisions to the QMP	4
<b>2.</b>	<b>MANAGEMENT AND ORGANIZATION</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Geosyntec's Mission Statement	5
2.2	Geosyntec's Approach to Quality Management	5
2.3	Authority and Responsibilities	5
2.3.1	Overview	5
2.3.2	President/CEO and Executive Committee	6
2.3.3	Risk Management Committee	6
2.3.4	Corporate Quality Assurance Manager	7
2.3.5	Not Used	8
2.3.6	Project Director	8
2.3.7	Project Manager	9
2.3.8	Project Quality Assurance Manager	10
2.3.9	Project Staff	10
2.4	Issue Resolution	11
<b>3.</b>	<b>COMPONENTS OF THE GEOSYNTEC QMS</b>	<b>12</b>
3.1	Overview	12
3.2	Guidance for Systematic Planning of Project Work Flow	12
3.3	Quality Assurance Project Plans	13
3.4	Standard Operating Guidelines and Procedures	13
3.5	Management Assessments	14
3.6	Systematic Planning	15
3.7	Data Quality Objectives	15
3.8	Peer and Senior Reviews	16
3.9	Data Quality Assessments	17
<b>4.</b>	<b>PERSONNEL QUALIFICATIONS AND TRAINING</b>	<b>19</b>
4.1	Personnel Qualifications	19



4.2	Commitment to Training	19
4.3	Training Roles and Responsibilities	20
4.4	Documentation of Staff Training and Education	21
<b>5.</b>	<b>PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES</b>	<b>22</b>
5.1	Procurement Source Evaluation and Selection	22
5.2	Document Retention for Subcontracted Services and Products	22
<b>6.</b>	<b>DOCUMENTS AND RECORDS</b>	<b>24</b>
6.1	Document Retention Policy	24
6.2	Quality-Related Project Documentation	24
6.3	Peer and Senior Review Documentation	25
6.4	Management Assessment Documentation	25
6.5	Project Document Control Systems	25
6.6	Data Archives	26
<b>7.</b>	<b>COMPUTER HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE</b>	<b>27</b>
7.1	Information Management System	27
7.2	Selection of System Hardware and Software	28
7.3	Selection of Project Software	28
7.4	Use of Project Software	29
7.5	Data Standards	30
<b>8.</b>	<b>PROJECT PLANNING</b>	<b>31</b>
8.1	Systematic Planning Process	31
8.2	Project Schedule, Budget and Staffing	31
8.3	Data Collection Planning Process	31
8.4	Identification of Required QA and QC Protocols to Meet DQOs	32
8.5	Project Work Plans and QAPPs	32
<b>9.</b>	<b>IMPLEMENTATION OF WORK PROCESSES</b>	<b>33</b>
9.1	Overview	33
9.2	Standard Operating Guidelines: Development and Use	33
9.3	Standard Operating Procedures: Development and Use	33
9.4	Test Control and Measurement	34
9.4.1	Test Control	34
9.4.2	Control of Measuring and Test Equipment	35
9.4.3	Program or Project Specific Inspection, Test, and Operating Status	38
9.5	Quality Program for Construction Management	38
9.5.1	Procedures	38
9.5.2	Document Control	41
9.5.3	Construction Process Control	41
9.5.4	Control of Special Processes	42



9.5.6	Inspection and Testing	42
9.5.7	Material Receipt, Storage, and Handling	43
9.5.8	Nonconforming Items and Corrective Action	45
9.5.9	Subcontractor Management	45
<b>10.</b>	<b>PEER AND SENIOR REVIEWS</b>	<b>45</b>
10.1	Peer Reviews	45
10.2	Senior Reviews	45
<b>11.</b>	<b>MANAGEMENT ASSESSMENT AND RESPONSE</b>	<b>47</b>
11.1	Assessment of the Quality Management System	47
11.2	Project Assessments	47
11.3	Corrective Actions	48
11.4	Project or Program Specific Control of Nonconforming Processes	48
11.5	Documentation and Tracking	50
11.6	Issue Resolution	50
<b>12.</b>	<b>QUALITY IMPROVEMENT</b>	<b>51</b>

**LIST OF FIGURES**

- Figure 1 Organizational Structure of Geosyntec Quality Management System Personnel
- Figure 2 Quality Assurance – Lines of Authority

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

Guidance for Systematic Planning of Project Work Flow

**REVISION LOG**

<u>Revision No.</u>	<u>Date of Revision</u>
01	August 2008
02	August 2013
03	September 12, 2018
04	September 27, 2018



## ACRONYMS

ANSI/ASQC	American National Standards Institute/American Society of Quality Control
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
CADD	Computer-Aided Design
CAM	Correction Action Memorandum
CEO	Chief Executive Officer
CFO	Chief Financial Officer
COE	United States Army Corp of Engineers
CQAM	Corporate Quality Assurance Manager
DQO	Data Quality Objective
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
FHWA	United States Federal Highway Administration
FSP	Field Sampling Plan
GIS	Geographic Information System
HAZWOPER	Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response
IT	Information Technology
NRC	United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission
OSHA	Occupational Safety & Health Administration
PDP	Project Delivery Portal
PD	Project Director
PM	Project Manager
PQAM	Project Quality Assurance Manager
PQO	Project Quality Objectives
QA	Quality Assurance
QAPP	Quality Assurance Project Plan
QA/QC	Quality Assurance/Quality Control
QC	Quality Control
QMP	Quality Management Plan
QMS	Quality Management System
RMP	Risk Management Program
RMC	Risk Management Committee
SAP	Sampling and Analysis Plan
SOG	Standard Operating Guideline
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure
USGS	United States Geological Survey



**TITLE AND APPROVAL PAGE**

Document Title:

**Corporate Quality Management Plan**

Preparer:

**Geosyntec Consultants**

Address:

**2002 Summit Blvd, Suite 885  
Atlanta, GA 30319**

Day/Month/Year:

**September 27, 2018**

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Rudolph Bonaparte".

**Regional Managing Principal:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Rudolph Bonaparte, P.E.

**Corporate Quality Assurance Manager:**

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Michael D' Alessandro".  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael D' Alessandro, P.E., PMP, BCEE

December 16, 2019 – Item 5

RESOLUTION 2019-46

RESOLUTION OF THE SAN GABRIEL AND LOWER LOS ANGELES RIVERS AND MOUNTAINS CONSERVANCY (RMC) TO NEGOTIATE AND APPROVE A PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT AND SERVICE ORDER WITH GEOSYNTEC CONSULTING TO PROVIDE CONCEPT DESIGN AND PERMITTING PRE-COORDINATION SERVICES FOR THE COMMUNITY CULTURAL ARTS CENTER IN SOUTHEAST LOS ANGELES

WHEREAS, the legislature has found and declared that the San Gabriel River and its tributaries, the Lower Los Angeles River and its tributaries, and the San Gabriel Mountains, Puente Hills, and San Jose Hills constitute a unique and important open space, environmental, anthropological, cultural, scientific, educational, recreational, scenic, and wildlife resource that should be held in trust to be preserved and enhanced for the enjoyment of, and appreciation by, present and future generations; and

WHEREAS, the State of California has authorized an expenditure of local assistance funds enacted in the Budget Act of 2018 (Senate Bill No. 840) to the San Gabriel and Lower Los Angeles Rivers and Mountains Conservancy for local assistance for the Los Angeles River Community Restoration and Revitalization Projects; and,

WHEREAS, The RMC may enter into any agreement with any public agency, private entity, or person necessary for the proper discharge of the conservancy's duties for the purposes set forth in Section 32602; and

WHEREAS, the RMC issued a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) to establish a list of individual consultants and firms to provide professional consulting and/or contracting services to support the Los Angeles River Community Restoration & Revitalization Projects, including Green Incubator and Cultural Center; and

WHEREAS, this action is exempt from the environmental impact report requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA); and NOW

*Therefore be it resolved that* the RMC hereby:

- 1 FINDS that this action is consistent with the San Gabriel and Lower Los Angeles Rivers and Mountains Conservancy Act and is necessary to carry out the purposes and objectives of Division 22.8 of the Public Resources Code.
- 2 FINDS that this action is consistent with the Lower Los Angeles River Working Group and Lower Los Angeles Revitalization Plan and is necessary to carry out the purposes and objectives of Division 22.8 of the Public Resources Code, relating to the Los Angeles River.
- 3 FINDS that the actions contemplated by this resolution are exempt from the environmental impact report requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act.
- 4 ADOPTS the staff report dated December 16, 2019.

Resolution No. 2019-46

- 5 AUTHORIZES the Executive Officer to negotiate and award a Professional Services Agreement and Service Order with Geosyntec Consulting to provide Concept Design and Permitting Pre-Coordination for the Community Cultural Arts Center in Southeast Los Angeles.

~ End of Resolution ~

Passed and Adopted by the Board of the  
SAN GABRIEL AND LOWER LOS ANGELES RIVERS AND MOUNTAINS  
CONSERVANCY on December 16, 2019.

Motion \_\_\_\_\_ Second: \_\_\_\_\_

Ayes: \_\_\_\_\_ Nays: \_\_\_\_\_ Abstentions: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Colonna, Chair

ATTEST: \_\_\_\_\_  
David Edsall  
Deputy Attorney General